Pathology of Mouse Models of Intestinal Cancer: Consensus Report and Recommendations
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High incidence, availability of premalignant lesions, and dominant inheritance of cancer predisposition in up to 15% of cases make colorectal cancer (CRC) one of the more intensively studied human malignancies. Identification of the genetic bases for familial adenomatous polyposis coli and hereditary nonpolyposis CRC has led to the development of mutant and genetically engineered mouse (GEM) models of intestinal neoplasia, such as the 

$Apc^{Min+}$ mouse and the DNA mismatch repair (MMR) GEM models. In 1999, the National Cancer Institute funded the Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium to develop mouse models of human cancer. A gastrointestinal subgroup was formed to generate and characterize mouse models that recapitulate many of the features of human CRC, including germline and somatic cell genetics, histopathology, tumor distribution, and natural progression of the disease.

A panel of 7 pathologists and 4 basic scientists convened at the Jackson Laboratories in Bar Harbor, Maine, to examine examples of mouse models with intestinal neoplasia as part of a Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium-sponsored symposium “Mouse Models of Intestinal Neoplasia” and Jackson Laboratories-sponsored workshop “Techniques for Modeling Human Intestinal Cancer in Mice.” The goals of the meeting were to describe the morphology of intestinal neoplasia in mouse models, develop standardized nomenclature for these lesions, develop recommendations for histologic handling of intestinal tissues from mouse models, and compare the morphology of intestinal lesions from mice with human colorectal neoplasia. The panel reviewed 83 H&E-stained slides from intestinal tumors taken from 17 different models of colorectal neoplasia, representing many of the commonly available and studied models of murine intestinal cancer. The following is a synopsis of that meeting and a summary of the histopathologic evaluation of more than 30 mouse models of intestinal cancer.

Mouse Pathology Nomenclature

The committee came to a consensus on recommended nomenclature for intestinal neoplasia in mouse models (Table 1). The nomenclature parallels that used for humans. For a review of the pathology and genetics of human colorectal neoplasia, see the World Health Organization publication of the classification of tumors of the digestive system.1

Two major areas of general discussion will be summarized. First, guidelines for nomenclature were developed for microscopic and macroscopic lesions, with the introduction of the term “gastrointestinal intraepithelial neoplasia” (GIN) to represent putative preinvasive neoplastic lesions not grossly visible (Figure 1). GIN is synonymous with atypical hyperplasia, atypia, microadenoma, carci-

Abbreviations used in this paper: ACF, aberrant crypt foci; AOM, azoxymethane; CRC, colorectal cancer; GEM, genetically engineered mouse; GIN, gastrointestinal intraepithelial neoplasia; IL, interleukin; MMR, mismatch repair; TGF, transforming growth factor.
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Table 1. Nomenclature for Histologic Assessment of Intestinal Tumors in the Rodent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia</td>
<td>Gross thickening of the mucosa; some growths may be pedunculated; mitosis are always located in the lower two-thirds of the mucosa; nuclei lack significant atypia, are basally located, ovoid to round, usually uniformly dark, with occasional visible nucleoli; crypts take on a star-shaped appearance in tangential sections; herniation (pseudoinvasion) of epithelium through the muscularis mucosae may occur. Hyperplasia can be further categorized as diffuse, focal, multifocal, and associated with inflammation (type and severity noted) and also can be graded as to severity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACF</td>
<td>Microscopically in whole-mount colonic tissue that usually is stained with methylene blue; one or more crypts larger than most crypts in the field, have a thickened layer of epithelial cells that stain more intensely with methylene blue, often have a slit-shaped luminal opening, have an increased pericryptal space, and are elevated from the focal plane of the microscope (ACF are not a histologic diagnosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIN</td>
<td>Histologically apparent areas of dysplasia that are not visible grossly (&lt; 0.5–1.0 mm); in human and veterinary pathology, these lesions may be referred to as microadenoma, microcarcinoma, carcinoma in situ, and focal areas of dysplasia; lesions may involve single or multiple glands; is compatible with dysplastic ACF that previously have been identified in the same unembedded tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>Benign, circumscribed neoplasm composed of tubular and/or villous structures lined by dysplastic epithelium; herniation (pseudoinvasion) through the muscularis mucosae may occur; categorized by the following criteria: (1) Macroscopic growth pattern; sessile, broad-based, or pedunculated (polypoid); attached by a stalk (2) Histologic type: tubular, at least 75% of adenoma composed of branching tubules in lamina propria (usually pedunculated); villous, at least 75% of adenoma composed of leaf- or finger-like processes of lamina propria covered by epithelium (usually sessile; also known as papillary); tubulovillous, adenoma composed of 25%–75% of both tubular and villous structures (usually pedunculated; also known as papillotubular) (3) Grade of dysplasia: based on the most severely dysplastic area of each tumor (A) Low grade: branching or elongation of crypts with some reduction of interglandular stroma; low N/C ratio; cell nuclei elongated, crowded, appear stratified, with regular nuclear membranes, fine chromatin, and inconspicuous nucleoli; nuclei maintain polarity with respect to the basement membrane; mucus secretion usually present (B) High grade: exhibits both architectural and cytologic changes; marked reduction of interglandular stroma with complex irregularity of glands with cribriform (sieve-like) structures and back-to-back glands; high N/C ratio; cell nuclei large, ovoid to round, with loss of polarity with respect to the basement membrane; cytologic atypia is more pronounced with marked, irregular nuclear membranes, with aberrant chromatin pattern not basally located (cleared, vesicular, clumped, or densely hyperchromatic chromatin); large, conspicuous nucleoli; mucus secretion usually absent; numerous mitoses with abnormal mitotic figures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herniation</td>
<td>Glands have penetrated through the muscularis mucosae (see Table 3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Malignant neoplasia of glandular epithelium composed of tubular and/or villous structures penetrating through the muscularis mucosa; categorized by the following criteria: (1) Grade of differentiation: well differentiated, moderately differentiated, or poorly differentiated (2) Histologic type: tubular/tubulovillous/villous adenocarcinoma; mucinous adenocarcinoma (&gt;50% of tumor composed of extracellular mucin, signet-ring cells can be present); signet-ring cell adenocarcinoma (&gt;50% of tumor composed of signet-ring cells); undifferentiated carcinoma (no glandular structure to differentiate; can be uniform or pleomorphic)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/C, nuclear/cytoplasmic.
distal colon of humans but are not a feature of mouse models of CRC.

For grossly visible lesions, the term “adenoma” is recommended for preinvasive pedunculated, sessile, or flat plaque-like lesions. As for human colorectal adenomas, the qualifier “low grade” is not used in conjunction with “adenoma,” because the diagnosis of adenoma implies at least low-grade dysplasia. More advanced adenomas with architectural complexity, increased cytologic atypia, and loss of tumor cell polarity are called adenomas with high-grade dysplasia.

Adenocarcinomas are malignant neoplasms of glandular epithelium composed of tubular and/or villous structures penetrating through the muscularis mucosa. They can be subclassified based on several different criteria, including degree of differentiation (well differentiated, moderately differentiated, or poorly differentiated) and
histologic type. Histologic types described in mice include tubular, tubulovillous, villous, mucinous (>50% of tumor composed of extracellular mucin), signet-ring cell (>50% of tumor composed of signet-ring cells), and undifferentiated carcinoma (minimal gland formation; can be uniform or pleomorphic).

Secondly, guidelines for differentiation of invasive cancer and herniation of the gut epithelium were developed. Mucosal herniation (Figure 2), a common finding in the murine intestinal tract, can be independent of cancer development. It is likely due to the relative thinness of the muscularis mucosae. In the mouse, this muscular layer is only a few cells thick; therefore, the mucosa is able to penetrate the muscle with relative ease. Two mechanisms of traversing the muscularis are suggested: (1) herniation of the epithelium through weak points where vessels and lymphatics traverse the muscle, and (2) increased pressure from the mucosal lesion (polyp) pushing the basal crypt cells through the muscularis mucosa. Either of these mechanisms of epithelial displacement may be enhanced in inflammatory conditions in which there is even greater potential for disruption of the muscularis mucosa.

Similarly, determination of invasion in human CRC is occasionally a diagnostic problem when pseudoinvasion, or noninvasive displacement of the epithelium, arises. In pedunculated adenomas, torsion and trauma to the lesion may result in displacement of adenosomatous epithelium into the head of the polyp. A thin layer of lamina propria is associated with the displaced epithelium, and hemosiderin is present in the stroma as evidence of trauma. The displaced epithelium has the same degree of dysplasia as the nondisplaced epithelium of the lesion. The lack of a desmoplastic response helps distinguish pseudoinvasion from invasive carcinoma. Displacement of nonneoplastic epithelium into deeper layers of the bowel wall also occurs in colitis cystica profunda, which is associated with inflammatory bowel disease.

As in mouse models, mucosal prolapse in humans may cause changes in the mucosa that mimic invasive adenocarcinoma. Solitary rectal ulcer syndrome, in which the rectal mucosa prolapses, is characterized by fibromuscular obliteration of the lamina propria with distortion and displacement of crypts and may on occasion be mistaken for neoplasia. Distinguishing the smooth muscle proliferation from the desmoplastic response to the tumor and recognizing that the displaced mucosa is not atypical or neoplastic are keys to distinguishing mucosal prolapse from infiltrating carcinoma in humans.

In an attempt to provide a simple classification system to differentiate carcinoma from mucosal herniation in the mouse, several characteristics of the penetrating epithelium were evaluated (Table 2). The most important characteristics in this evaluation were high-grade dysplasia, desmoplasia, loss of mucosal lining in invading glands, and presence of irregular, sharp, or angulated glands. To classify a lesion as a carcinoma, most of these features should be present in the invading epithelium. Herniated epithelium is usually characterized by cystically dilated glands, absence of dysplasia, no pleomorphism, absence of desmoplasia, and no loss of acinar architecture. Caution is needed when evaluating inflamed areas because of severe fibrosis, as well as frequent disruption and herniation of glandular tissue that accompanies many chronic inflammatory bowel disease models. As with all questionable diagnoses, consultation between pathologists may help in differentiating herniated and invasive lesions.

### Morphology of Intestinal Neoplasia in GEM and Comparison With Human Lesions

Mouse models of intestinal neoplasia may be broadly divided into 5 groups: Apc and related models with mutations in Wnt signaling, MMR GEM, GEM with alterations in transforming growth factor (TGF) β signaling, immune-deficient mice with colitis, and carcinogen-treated mice. The following descriptions are a compilation of meeting findings as well as published reports on murine models of intestinal cancer. Original terminology from the published reports has not been changed to reflect the proposed nomenclature. Summary tables list the primary features of the different models (Table 3) and relationship to human disease (Table 4). Terminology similar to that used for human lesions is used for descriptive purposes and does not imply similarities in clinical behavior of morphologically similar lesions in mice and humans, because disease progression may vary significantly for the 2 species.

#### Table 2. Features That Distinguish Invasive Carcinoma From Herniation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Invasive cells are different from overlying mucosal component</td>
<td>with atypia exceeding low-grade dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Presence of desmoplasia that is not associated with a prominent inflammatory cell infiltrate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Presence of irregular, sharp, or angulated glands in invasive component</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Invading crypts spread laterally deep to the surface mucosal component</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cell loss from invading mucosa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. More than 2 invading glands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Absence of basement membrane around invading glands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Evidence of progression to invasive cancer in other mice of the same genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Mouse Models of Intestinal Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of model</th>
<th>Background strain</th>
<th>Predominant location</th>
<th>Predominant neoplasm</th>
<th>Average no. of tumors/mouse</th>
<th>Age when analyzed (mo)</th>
<th>Other lesions</th>
<th>Metastasis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WNT pathway</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apc&lt;sup&gt;Min+&lt;/sup&gt;/-</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Moser et al.,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apc&lt;sup&gt;1638G/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Desmoid tumors</td>
<td>Yes (1 reported)</td>
<td>Fodde et al.,13; Smits et al.,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apc&lt;sup&gt;1715/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Oshima et al.,14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apc&lt;sup&gt;1290/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Quesada et al.,18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔN131 β-catenin</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × DBA</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Polycystic renal disease</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Romagnolo et al.,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated β-catenin</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × NR</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Harada et al.,22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMR genes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh3&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/Sv × SJL/J</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lymphomas, skin tumors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Edelmann et al.,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh3&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Skin tumor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Kuraguchi et al.,91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh6&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/Sv × SJL/J</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lymphomas, skin tumors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Edelmann et al.,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh6&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Skin tumor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Kuraguchi et al.,91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh6&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>129/Ola × FVB/N</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Skin tumor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Edelmann et al.,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh3&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Kuraguchi et al.,91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh1&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/Ola</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lymphomas, skin tumors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Edelmann et al.,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh1&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Skin tumor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Edelmann et al.,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh1&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>129/Ola × Ola</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Edelmann et al.,48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh2&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/Ola</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Shoemaker et al.,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TGF-β models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rag2&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>129S6 × CF1</td>
<td>Cecum/colon</td>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Engle et al.,40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rag2&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>129S6 × CF1</td>
<td>Cecum/colon</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Engle et al.,40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smad4&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Stomach/duodenum</td>
<td>Hamartomatous polyph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Taketo et al.,44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smad4&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Signet-ring cell carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Taketo et al.,46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smad3&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>129/Sv</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rectal prolapse</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chen et al.,42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smad3&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>129 × C57BL/6</td>
<td>Cecum/colon</td>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Rectal prolapse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Zhu et al.,42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunodeficient</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/Sv × SJL/J</td>
<td>Rectum/colon</td>
<td>Colitis/carcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Berg et al.,96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il-2</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/Sv × SJL/J</td>
<td>Rectum/colon</td>
<td>Colitis/carcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Shah et al.,57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trx&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Rectum/colon</td>
<td>Colitis</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3–12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mizoguchi et al.,43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G&lt;sup&gt;δ&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sub&gt;-/-&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>129Sv × C57BL/6</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Colitis/carcinoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3–9</td>
<td>Altered thymocyte maturation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Rudolph et al.,52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carcinogen-treated</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>A/J</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Papanikolaou et al.,92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>SWR/J</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Papanikolaou et al.,92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>AKR/J</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Papanikolaou et al.,92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNU</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3–12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Qin et al.,27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG</td>
<td>C3H</td>
<td>Colon/small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>Stomach SCC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Schoental et al.,74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdx2&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>129/Sv × C57BL/6</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Gastric and intestinal heterotopia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tamai et al.,62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muc2&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/SvOla</td>
<td>Small/large intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Velcich et al.,67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl(3)kinase&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Rectum/colon</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>Peritoneal tumors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Sasaki et al.,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-cadherin&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C57BL/6 × 129/Sv</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>Adenoma/adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2–19</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hermiston et al.,63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR, not reported; MNU, N-methyl-N-nitrosourea; MNG, N-methyl-N-nitroso-N-nitroguanidine; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.
**Apc and Related GEM**

The \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mouse was the first germline mutant mouse model of gastrointestinal tumorigenesis.\(^5\) Thus, data on tumor incidence, severity, and location in other mouse models are often compared with the phenotype of this mouse. The \( Apc^{Min} \) allele carries an ethylnitrosourea-induced nonsense mutation that leads to embryonic lethality in the homozygous state.\(^6\) Studies are conducted in \( Apc \) heterozygous (\( Min/+ \)) mice. Mice carrying the \( Apc^{Min} \) allele on the C57BL/6 background develop, on average, 30 pedunculated and flat adenomas per mouse in the small intestine and 5 per mouse in the colon by 4 months of age. Most polyps are adenomas, with occasional progression to invasive adenocarcinoma;\(^7,8\) tumors in \( Apc^{Min} \) have not been observed to metastasize. Cystic crypts are observed in Min mice.\(^9\) However, spontaneous colonic ACF are rare or absent in these mice.\(^10\) The average mouse life span is 119 days.\(^5\)

Two other mouse strains with targeted insertion mutations at other sites in the \( Apc \) gene were examined by the panel. \( Apc^{Δ716/+} \) develop a similar distribution of polyps as the \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice (i.e., most lesions were in the small intestine with very few in the large intestine)\(^11\) and also lack colonic ACF.\(^12\) However, there is a 10-fold increase in polyps compared with \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice.\(^11\) In the \( Apc^{Δ716/+} \) mouse, as in the other \( Apc \) models, loss of heterozygosity or mutation of the remaining normal \( Apc \) allele is required step in the formation of adenomas.

The committee also examined the \( Apc^{1638N/+} \) mutant mouse on the C57BL/6 background. These mice develop significantly fewer adenomas than either the \( Apc^{Δ716/+} \) or \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice, with 1–6 polyloid hyperplastic lesions or intestinal tumors developing by 3–5 months of age.\(^13\) In addition, these mice develop colonic ACF spontaneously.\(^14\) The mice have an average life span of about 15 months. Invasive carcinomas are sometimes seen in the small intestine; liver metastasis has been observed infrequently in \( Apc^{1638N/+} \) mice.\(^13\) Similar to tumors occurring in \( Apc^{Min/+} \) and \( Apc^{Δ716/+} \) animals, tumors from \( Apc^{1638N/+} \) animals show loss of the normal \( Apc \) allele and loss of heterozygosity for markers on the entire chromosome 18 but do not carry mutations in K-ras and p53.\(^15\) One mechanism of chromosome-wide loss of heterozygosity in the \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mouse is homologous somatic recombination.\(^16\)

Two other \( Apc \) GEM have been described in the literature but were not examined by the panel. The \( Apc^{1638T/+} \) mice do not develop intestinal tumors. Interestingly, mice homozygous for the \( Apc^{1638T} \) allele survive to adulthood, although they are runted.\(^17\) \( Apc^{16309/+} \) mice develop an average of 34 adenomas by 14 weeks of age, a slightly higher incidence of polyp formation than in \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice. However, the distribution of polyps in the intestine has not been described.\(^18\)

Although onset, severity, and location of tumors vary between mice carrying different \( Apc \) mutations, the histologic appearance of all tumors is similar (Figure 3). Tumors arising in the \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice and related models are pedunculated adenomas that protrude into the gut lumen and arise in mucosa without inflammation. Most of the polyps occur in the small bowel, with a smaller number in the colon. The microscopic appearance of the tumors is similar to colonic adenomas in humans, with the exception of differences in surface involvement. In human adenomas, dysplastic cells are found on the superficial mucosal surface; this is in contrast to \( Apc^{Min/+} \), in which adenomas are covered by a surface layer of non-dysplastic epithelium.

Early lesions in \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice are characterized by neoplastic crypts that are enlarged relative to normal crypts and lined by atypical epithelial cells with hyperchromatic, crowded, elongated nuclei and increased nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratios. Goblet cell and Paneth cell differentiation can be identified in some of the cells of the
adenoma by routine light microscopy; neuroendocrine differentiation in some cells may be identified by immunohistochemical stains. In more advanced lesions, the neoplastic glands have a more complex architecture characterized by small, densely packed back-to-back glands or the formation of large cribriform glands. Adenocarcinoma arising in these lesions is rare and is characterized by invasion of the submucosa or deeper layers of the bowel wall accompanied by a desmoplastic stromal response. The central portion of adenocarcinomas may be ulcerated. Increased numbers of apoptotic bodies and mitoses are commonly seen in both early and advanced lesions.

**β-Catenin Transgenic Mice**

β-Catenin is a multifunctional protein that is a component of the WNT signal transduction pathway as well as cadherin-mediated cell adhesion complexes. It associates with the APC tumor suppressor protein, which facilitates its proteasomal degradation. In intestinal tumors of both humans and ApcMin/+ mice, β-catenin is transported from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, an indicator of activated WNT signaling. Three groups have described transgenic overexpression of mutated β-catenin, which acts as a dominant activator of WNT signaling. ΔN131β-catenin overexpression by the calbindin promoter leads to development of adenoma in transgenic mice by 3–4 weeks of age, although further analysis was inhibited by mortality from polycystic kidney disease that also developed in these mice. In contrast, Wong et al. found no oncogenic effect of overexpression of a human NH2 amino-terminal truncation mutant (N89 β-catenin) in the 129/Sv embryonic stem cell-derived component of the small intestine of adult C57BL/6-Rosa26 129/Sv chimeric mice. A third transgenic mouse overexpressing an activated β-catenin developed
3000 tumors, primarily in the duodenum and jejunum with fewer in the ileum and little involvement of the cecum and colon. These tumors develop around 3 weeks of age and are similar histopathologically to those of \( Apc^{1638N/+} \) mice but occur in greater numbers.\(^2\) Strain differences, transgene promoter sequences, and/or differences in \( \beta \)-catenin sequence may explain these differences in phenotype. These models underscore the importance of the WNT signaling pathway in mouse gastrointestinal tumorigenesis.

**MMR GEM**

Mismatches in DNA result from DNA replication errors, genetic recombination, and chemical modification. Several human genes encode proteins that correct DNA mismatches; the most studied of these are \( MSH2 \) and \( MLH1 \), which are homologues of bacterial DNA MMR genes \( MutS \) and \( MutL \), respectively. Some human sporadic CRCs have defects in DNA MMR genes and are characterized by microsatellite instability. Microsatellite instability is used as a marker for homozygous loss of MMR genes (epigenetic silencing of alleles by promoter hypermethylation has been reported) and is characteristic of tumors that form in humans with hereditary nonpolyposis CRC caused by germ line mutations of MMR genes. Certain histologic subtypes, such as medullary carcinoma, mucinous carcinoma, undifferentiated carcinoma, and signet-ring cell carcinoma, are overrepresented among tumors with high levels of microsatellite instability, defined as those tumors exhibiting microsatellite instability in more than 30%–40% of markers tested.\(^23\)–\(^25\)

Several MMR GEM have been developed. \( Mlh1^{-/-} \), \( Pms1^{-/-} \), and \( Pms2^{-/-} \) mice were derived in mixed C57 black mixed and 129/Sv backgrounds; of these, only the \( Mlh1^{-/-} \) mice developed intestinal tumors. Most of the lesions occur in the jejunum and ileum, with a few neoplasms developing in the colon. Most mice develop small numbers of neoplasms, including carcinomas, between 4 and 12 months of age. Similar to other MMR GEM, these mice develop lymphomas, skin tumors, and sarcomas.\(^26\) Although spontaneous gastrointestinal tumors were not detected in the \( Pms2 \) mutant mice, heterozygotes are more susceptible to \( N \)-methyl-N-nitrosourea–induced adenomas and carcinomas in the small intestine than wild-type mice (2.3 per mouse compared with 1.3 per mouse).\(^27\) Double mutant \( Apc^{Min/+} \) \( Mlh1^{-/-} \) mice show an enhancement of adenoma multiplicity, often accompanied by de novo nonsense mutations in the \( Apc \) allele rather than loss of heterozygosity. The \( Mlh1 \) deficiency also enhances the formation of cystic crypts in \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice.\(^9\)

\( Msh2^{-/-} \) mice in a mixed background (C57BL/6 and 129/Ola) develop lymphomas that kill about 50% of mice between 2 and 6 months of age.\(^28\) In mice that survive 6 months or longer, intestinal adenomas occur at a high frequency (15 of 22), with a high proportion of lesions in the duodenum and jejunum. Adenomas are typically plaque lesions, different from the pedunculated tumors seen in \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice and other MMR GEM. These intestinal neoplasms have a prominent inflammatory component, unlike many of the MMR models described.\(^28\) In addition, 7 of 13 mice originally described developed spontaneous ACF in their colons. Some of these mice (7%) developed skin neoplasms, including sebaceous carcinomas that are also seen in humans in the setting of Muir–Torre syndrome.\(^28\) The double mutant \( Apc^{Min/+} \) \( Msh2^{-/-} \) mice developed more adenomas at an earlier age than \( Apc^{Min/+} \) mice in the small and large intestine and more ACF compared with either \( Apc^{Min/+} \) or \( Msh2^{-/-} \) mice. The adenomas in \( Apc^{Min/+} \) \( Msh2^{-/-} \) mice do not progress to carcinomas, perhaps because of the shorter life span of these mice compared with \( Msh2^{-/-} \) mice.\(^10\)

\( Msh3^{-/-} \) mice on a mixed background of C57BL/6 (60%), 129/Sv (37.5%), and SJL/J (2.5%) strains have a life span similar to their control littermates and a low incidence of mice with tumors (10%), with roughly equal numbers of intestinal adenomas and carcinomas in each affected mouse.\(^29\) Intestinal lesions in \( Msh3^{-/-} \) mice include low-grade polypoid tumors classified as adenomas, with deep cystically dilated crypts lined by goblet cells. Rectal prolapse with mucosal hyperplasia, erosion of the surface mucosa with acute inflammation, expansion of the replicative zone, and displacement of epithelium in the submucosa also occurs in this model. In the \( Apc^{1638N/+} \) \( Msh3^{-/-} \) double mutant mice, adenomas with numerous apoptotic bodies are found. Adenocarcinomas in this model consist of infiltrative glands in a desmoplastic stroma (Figure 4). Rectal prolapse also occurs in these double mutants.

\( Msh6^{-/-} \) mice developed on the same mixed background as the \( Msh3^{-/-} \) mice had a median survival of 11 months. Thirty-eight percent of \( Msh6^{-/-} \) mice developed duodenal and jejunal tumors, with a high ratio of carcinomas to adenomas.\(^29\) On the C57BL/6 background, \( Msh6^{-/-} \) mice develop intestinal adenomas with densely packed crypts and high-grade cellular dysplasia primarily in the duodenum and jejunum; these tumors had a median onset of 10 months.\(^30\) Extraintestinal lesions, including lymphomas, and benign skin and hepatic neoplasms occur in a significant proportion of these mice.\(^30\) On a different mixed background (129/OLA and
CRC). In addition, mutations in the human Msh3 and Msh6 genes were developed in a mixed 129S6 (97%) and CF-1 (3%) genetic background. All combinations of Tgfb1−/− mice were generated. Cells were found in the lamina propria that extended into the submucosa. Polypoid colonic tumors with large cystic crypts filled with cellular debris and mucin pools extending through the bowel wall into perirectal tissue were also present. The cells lining the mucin pools showed minimal nuclear atypia. Similar to the tumors examined in the Tgfb1−/−; Rag2−/− mice, there was no indication of Apc inactivation in the cancers. On a hybrid background of 129/Sv
and C57BL/6, mice developed histologically similar lesions; however, tumor onset was delayed and neoplasms were only seen in 30% of the mice.42

Smad4-deficient mice have been described in which homozygotes die during embryonic development.43 The Smad4-fl/fl mice develop polyps in the duodenum and stomach after 1 year of age. The duodenal polyps are sessile and consist of cystic deep glands with overlying hyperplastic mucosa. Most of the gastric polyps in this model appear hamartomatous. A mild inflammatory component is associated with the tumors, and there are no lesions in the distal intestines of the Smad4 mice. The human counterpart of the Smad4 GEM may be a subset of patients with juvenile polyposis, in whom germ line mutations of SMAD4 have been identified.45

**Combinations of Smad4, MMR-Deficient, and Apc GEM**

Several mice with combinations of targeted mutations and mutant Apc have been developed. Smad4+/− ApcΔ716/+ mice develop larger tumors in the small intestine and colon, although tumor number did not change in comparison with ApcΔ716/+ mice. There is also a significant increase in progression of the lesions, including greater desmoplasia, invasion, and the presence of signet-ring cells, suggesting that mutation of Smad4 plays a role in histologic progression of intestinal lesions.46

Unlike Smad4+/− ApcΔ716/+ mice, there was no significant change in tumor progression when mice deficient in MMR genes were crossed with mice carrying Apc mutations, although most showed increased tumor multiplicity. For example, Pms2−/− ApcMin/+ mice were characterized by a 3-fold increase in small intestinal adenomas and a 4-fold increase in colonic adenomas but no increased incidence of carcinoma.47 Similar increases in tumor multiplicity were found in a study of Msh2−/− ApcMin/+ mice.10 Mlh1−/− ApcMin/+ mice were characterized by a 3-fold increase in average tumor number but no difference in tumor size or progression.9 Similarly, Mlh1−/− ApcΔ538N/+ mice also have increased tumor numbers.48 Evaluation of mice carrying combinations of different genetic mutations should improve our understanding of the effects of gene interactions on tumor initiation and progression.

**Immune-Deficient Mouse Models:**

**Interleukin 10, Interleukin 2, Goα12, and Tcrx**

Several immune-deficient mouse models have been described in the literature and generally are characterized by inflammation of the large bowel with proliferative lesions that occasionally progress to adenocarcinomas.49–52 Of particular importance in these models of inflammatory bowel disease is the absence of disease when the mice are rederived in a germ-free (bacteria- and virus-free) environment. In specific pathogen-free environments in which known pathogenic agents are not present (normal flora is still present in the gastrointestinal tract), interleukin (IL)-10−/− and IL-2−/− deficient mice have a reduced number and size of lesions.50,53

The specific bacteria associated with lesion development in GEM are *Helicobacter* species, although the precise role of these organisms is debated. *H. hepaticus* infection was not required for the development of colitis in IL-10−/− mice.54,55 In a contrasting study, IL-10−/− deficient mice failed to develop colitis when cleared of *Helicobacter* infections with antibiotics; conversely, infection of pathogen-free IL-10−/− mice with *H. hepaticus* was associated with colitis.50 It is possible that antibiotic treatment intended to eliminate *H. hepaticus* may also have eliminated the causative agent of colitis and that *H. hepaticus* may only have exacerbated an ongoing process. There is little doubt, however, that intestinal flora plays an important pathogenic role in the immune-deficient inflammatory bowel disease models.

Sixty percent of IL-10−/− mice (C57BL/6 × 129) develop colonic lesions by 6 months of age,46 and 32% of IL-10−/− β2-microglobulin−/− mice (C57BL/6 × 129) develop colonic adenocarcinomas between 6 and 12 months of age.57 These data suggest that colitis is a significant precursor of adenocarcinoma in mice. Histopathologic interpretation is complicated by the frequent occurrence of rectal prolapse and mucosal herniation associated with inflammation. Alterations in β-catenin expression and Apc loss of heterozygosity were not observed in adenocarcinomas from IL-10−/− mice.58

Colitis-associated tumors in GEM are plaque-like lesions arising in hyperplastic colitic mucosa or in areas of rectal prolapse (Figure 6). The distribution and morphology of the colitis differs among the various models, but, in general, the affected colonic mucosa contains an increased mixed inflammatory infiltrate in the lamina propria. The colitic mucosa is thickened and hyperplastic compared with normal mucosa. Erosion and ulceration with prominent smooth muscle fibers in the lamina propria are seen in prolapsed mucosa. Mucosal herniation, or displacement of nonneoplastic epithelium into the submucosa and deeper layers of the bowel wall, is common in rectal prolapse and difficult to distinguish from carcinoma. Intraepithelial neoplasia arising in areas of hyperplasia is frequently seen in reactive mucosa.

In humans, adenocarcinomas arising in the setting of chronic inflammatory conditions are most often associated with ulcerative colitis but are occasionally seen in
Crohn’s disease and schistosomiasis. The incidence of CRC in individuals with ulcerative colitis varies with duration and extent of disease but is estimated at 15%–20% after 30 years of disease. Such CRCs develop in areas of inflammation and in flat or plaque-like areas of mucosal dysplasia, similar to the mouse. Many do not have an exophytic component and are inconspicuous on gross examination. Most tumors arising in ulcerative colitis are typical CRCs on microscopic examination, although mucinous and signet-ring cell carcinomas and other rare tumor subtypes are overrepresented. Ulcerative colitis in humans differs morphologically from colitis in GEM, with human colitis exhibiting greater mucosal architectural distortion, loss of crypts, branching and budding crypts, and crypt atrophy. Diffuse nonpolypoid mucosal hyperplasia is seen in immunodeficient GEM but is not a feature of human ulcerative colitis.

Other GEM With an Intestinal Phenotype

Cdx2−/− mice. Cdx2 is an intestine-specific transcription factor that is a member of the caudal-related homeobox gene family. Cdx2-deficient mice develop colonic lesions very early in life, with reprogramming of mucosal differentiation leading to gastric and intestinal heterotopia. These mice have villiform structure formation in the cecum and proximal colon with some polyp formation in the colon. Many of the lesions are lined by smooth muscle and show loss of goblet cell development. The duplication of the mucosa begins at embryonic day 11.5 as an outpocketing of the gut epithelium opposite the cecal bud. Connection of the outpocketing to the colonic lumen is retained up to 3 months of age.

N-cadherin transgenic mice. Mice overexpressing a dominant negative N-cadherin along the entire crypt-villus axis develop inflammation localized to the jejunum and portions of the duodenum and ileum and occasionally form adenomas. Inflammation is characterized by an infiltration of the lamina propria with lymphocytes, immunoglobulin G– and immunoglobulin A–secreting plasma cells, and histiocytes. Inflammatory lesions are present by 6 weeks of age; by 3 months, the inflammation becomes transmural. Neutrophilic infiltration, Paneth cell hyperplasia, perturbed crypt-villus architecture, and ulceration are evident in the earlier lesions.

Lkb1+/− mice. Peutz–Jeghers syndrome is a hereditary disorder characterized by gastrointestinal hamartomatous polyposis associated with mucocutaneous pigmentation. Germline mutations of the gene encoding LKB1 (STK11), a serine/threonine kinase, are identified in most patients with Peutz–Jeghers syndrome. To investigate the role of LKB1 in the Peutz–Jeghers syndrome, a germline mutation in the mouse Lkb1 gene was introduced by homologous recombination in mouse embryonic stem cells by 3 groups. All 3 groups reported development of hamartomatous polyps in the gastrointestinal tract at approximately 20 weeks of age. Polyps were found from the stomach to the colon with some variation among groups, but in no instance did the polyps progress to carcinoma. Whereas 2 of the groups found that the polyps retained the wild-type allele, Bardeesy et al. found loss of heterozygosity of the wild-type allele in 3 of 12 polyps.

Muc-2−/− mice. Muc-2 is the most abundantly secreted apomucin in the intestinal tract. Muc-2−/− knockout mice were examined for development of colon cancer at 6 and 12 months of age. The incidence of neoplasms increased from 16% to 68% with increasing dysplasia of the tumors with age. Both adenomas and invasive carcinomas were described in the small intestine with a few in the large intestine, including the rectum. In addition, inflammation was evident in the lesions shown. The role of bacterial flora in lesion development was not described.

Carcinogen-Treated Rodents

The discovery that 1,2-dimethylhydrazine is a potent and specific colorectal carcinogen in rodents led to experimental studies on the initiation and progression of nonfamilial forms of CRC. 1,2-Dimethylhydrazine is a procarcinogen requiring metabolism into the ultimate carcinogen, the alkylating ion methylazoxymethane. Azoxymethane (AOM), an intermediate in the metabolism of 1,2-dimethylhydrazine, is also a colorectal-specific procarcinogen. In AOM-treated rodents, most intestinal tumors arise in the colon and form grossly visible exophytic polypoid or plaque-like growths. The microscopic appearance of low-grade dysplastic lesions in treated rodents is similar to colonic adenomas in humans (Figure 7A). Neoplastic crypts may contain abundant apoptotic cellular debris, and increases in inflammatory cells in the lamina propria are often seen in the adenomas. Larger adenomas contain crypts with complex cribiform architecture corresponding to high-grade dysplasia or intramucosal carcinoma in human specimens. Some tumors in AOM-treated rodents show a propensity for early invasion of the fibrovascular stroma of the adenoma stalk, even in small lesions. Adenocarcinomas may be confined to the submucosa or, as in rats, may extend through the colonic wall (Figure 7B) with peritoneal spread and metastasis to regional lymph nodes. Metastatic tumors in rats tend to be poorly differentiated, having solid and mucinous characteristics. Mucinous and signet-ring cell adenocarcinomas are reported in
AOM-treated rats but not in mice. AOM-treated mice are a potential model of metastasis.\textsuperscript{71} Molecular changes in the tumors include mutations in \(\beta\)-catenin\textsuperscript{72} and p\textsuperscript{53}.\textsuperscript{73} Other carcinogens used to induce gastrointestinal tumors in rodents include \(N\)-methyl-\(N\)\textsuperscript{1}-nitro-\(N\)\textsuperscript{2}-nitrosoguanidine,\textsuperscript{74} \(N\)-ethyl-\(N\)\textsuperscript{1}-nitro-\(N\)\textsuperscript{2}-nitrosoguanidine,\textsuperscript{75} \(N\)\textsuperscript{2}-dimethylhydrazine,\textsuperscript{75} 2-amino-3,4-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline,\textsuperscript{76} and \(N\)-methyl-\(N\)\textsuperscript{2}-nitrosourea.\textsuperscript{27} Others identified in 2-year safety bioassays include capsaicin, captan, hydrogen peroxide, and \(N\)-(tri-chloromethylthio)phthalimide.\textsuperscript{77}

\textbf{Modifiers of Cancer Phenotypes}

It became clear during the review of specimens during the meeting that strain, nutrition, and bacterial status, as in other disease processes in mice, were of critical importance in modifying neoplastic development and progression. For example, the incidence of neoplasia in the \(Apc^\Delta716^{+/+}\) mouse is well known to be strain dependent.\textsuperscript{7,78–80} A major modifier locus, now known as Modifier-of-Min (Mom1), contributes 50\% of the phenotypic variance between C57BL/6J and AKR/J mice. Genetic mapping\textsuperscript{78} and subsequent molecular analysis of the locus showed that at least 2 genes contribute to the effects of Mom1: the secretory phospholipase A2 gene\textsuperscript{81} and a second unidentified gene.\textsuperscript{82} Spontaneous mutations, such as Mom2, have also been detected that contribute to tumor modifier effects.\textsuperscript{83} \(Msh6^{-/-}\) mice on a C57BL/6 background have a higher incidence of adenomas than mice on a 129/Ola \(\times\) FVB/N background. \(Smad3^{-/-}\) mice on a 129/Sv background develop tumors more at an earlier age than mice with the same mutation on a 129/Sv \(\times\) C57BL/6 background. Additionally, pure 129/Sv-strain \(Smad3^{-/-}\) mice maintained in a mouse colony free of \(H.\) hepaticus do not develop colitis or adenocarcinoma (John Letterio, personal communication, June 2002). These data show a role for modifier genes in the mouse that regulate the development and incidence of adenoma formation.

Diet also influences tumor formation in GEM. The \(Apc^{\Delta716^{+/+}}\) mice show, on average, 134 small intestinal adenomas on a low-fat/high-fiber diet and 209 small intestinal adenomas on a high-fat/low-fiber diet.\textsuperscript{84} Likewise, tumor progression to malignancy is accelerated in \(Apc^{\Delta1658N^{+/+}}\) mice on a western-style diet containing high fat, low calcium, and low vitamin D.\textsuperscript{85} These studies show a role for diet on tumor incidence.

\textbf{Necropsy Technique and Recommendations for Tissue Handling}

Standard necropsy techniques should be used in accordance with several published recommendations.\textsuperscript{86,87} Briefly, the intestinal tract is excised in mass and mesenteric attachments cut to allow full extension of the tract. The gastrointestinal tract can be opened for gross examination, depending on the goals of the research study. If so, it is advisable to flush the lumen of the intestinal tract with saline to clean out ingesta and feces or with fixative to prevent autolysis of the mucosa. When examining an opened intestine for lesions, it is important to avoid excessive contact with the surface mucosa because surface damage may occur. Time is critical, because autolysis begins within a few minutes after death. If the gut is opened to count gross lesions, it is important to lay the intestine out flat on paper for fixation. If ACF are to be evaluated, the intestine must be fixed flat immedi-
ately, either between filter papers with weights above or by pinning the tissue to a support such as a paraffin plate or corkboard.

After initial preparation and examination, the intestine can be placed in a container for immersion fixation. The ratio of tissue to fixative is at least 1:10. Selection of fixatives should be optimized for the intent of the study. For routine diagnostic evaluation, 10% neutral-buffered formalin is the fixative of choice. Bouin’s fixative is excellent for maintaining morphology, whereas paraformaldehyde is often used for in situ hybridization. A short fixation in 70% ethanol has been found to be preferable for the extraction of messenger RNA.88

Once the tissue has been harvested and examined, there are several choices for orientation of the specimen for histologic analysis. Four common techniques can be used. The first is the alignment of the intestine in short longitudinal sections from duodenum to jejunum in sequential order. This method allows large pieces of tissue to be examined and orientation maintained. The second method is a “Swiss roll” technique in which the intestine is rolled in a circle around itself. This has the same benefits as the first procedure but requires less space. The third technique examines tissues in cross section. This provides excellent orientation of the sample but is inefficient for examining the entire specimen. Another approach is to select only lesions detected by gross or stereomicroscopic examination. In this method, the lesion is sectioned through the middle with a sharp blade, and both halves are embedded in a block. This method is excellent for performing histogenesis studies. It is the only technique that provides orientation so that the center of the lesion can be examined. The other techniques, although providing a good mechanism for comparing numbers of tumors, do not allow an absolute count of tumors in the intestine and the lesions are not sectioned through the center in all instances. Multiple sections are required in gut rolls to define the true nature of the neoplasms. Other preparative protocols were presented in the Colon Cancer Jackson Laboratories Workshop and are available on “Techniques for Modeling Human Intestinal Cancer in Mice.”89

**Summary**

The marked diversity in the phenotype of intestinal neoplasia in murine models offers opportunities to model many characteristics of human CRC, including tumor progression, metastasis, gross morphology, and histology. However, the lack of a consistent model of metastasis is of particular concern in developing mouse models of human CRC. AOM-treated mice consistently develop metastasis, but the absence of control by known genetic mutations under carcinogen treatment makes this model system less desirable. The *Smad3−/−, PI(3)Krγ−/−* and Apc1638N/+ mutants are the only mouse models reported to develop colonic adenocarcinomas that metastasize to the lymph nodes and liver, which are common metastatic sites of human CRCs. However, there has been difficulty reproducing this observation in similar strains from different laboratories. Neoplastic lesions in mice with specific genetic alterations often do not parallel the phenotype of human cancer. Lastly, the role of intestinal pathogens and their contribution to the inflammatory response and tumor initiation is generally underappreciated. Despite these limitations, mouse models are invaluable in approximating the pathogenesis of human intestinal cancer and thus provide an in vivo platform for identifying therapeutic targets and developing new strategies for prevention and treatment.
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